Colorado Department of Health

Hazardous Materials and Waste Management Division

Comments to: Final Phase I RFI/RI Work Plan for OU-13

April 1993

GENERAL COMMENTS:

- The Division disagrees with the deposition of our comments regarding the 1) number and location of surficial soil samples (Comment CDH #8, November The statistical basis for the number of surficial soil 10, 1992). samples, as presented in response to our comment, is not considered by the Division to be a valid approach to meeting any of the stage 1 objectives. The Division does not believe that a sufficient number of surficial soil samples have been proposed to assure that the stage 1 objectives will be The statistical approach for the surficial soil field sampling plan should be consistent with EPA Guidance and approved RFI/RI Workplans for similar OUs at the Rocky Flats Plant. This can be done by: 1) replacing Section 5 1.2 5.3 with the revised section contained in Attachment I; 2) modifying Table 6.2 and Figures 6-3 through 6-10 as shown in Attachment II, and 3) revising the text in section 6.3 as necessary to be consistent with items 1 and 2, above.
- DOE's response to the Division's comment (CDH 1) regarding HPGe SOP has 2) not been fully implemented in the Workplan. In the March 8, 1993 Response to Comments DOE states, "We have also revised Section 6 - Field Sampling and Analysis Plan to avoid the use of HPGe detectors for sampling beneath the pavement." However, section 6.3.1 of the Field Sampling Plan on page 6-38 indicates the HPGe survey is one of two methods to be used to characterize potential below pavement contamination. The second method is surficial soil samples. Neither the Field Sampling Plan or the Data Quality Objectives address how the HPGe survey would be conducted and results interpreted in paved IHSSs. The Division does not consider the HPGe survey to be capable of characterizing potential contamination located under pavement or other fill material. Therefore, the Data Quality Objectives (section 5 1.2.5.1) and Field Sampling Plan (section 6.3) for the Radionuclide Survey must be revised to clarify that the HPGe survey will not be used for characterization of potential contamination below pavement or other fill material. The surficial soil sampling program revisions proposed in General Comment 1 have been structured to begin radionuclide characterization under paved and fill covered IHSSs in

Colorado Department of Health

Hazardous Materials and Waste Management Division

Comments to: Final Phase I RFI/RI Work Plan for OU-13

April 1993

OU-13. The Division still considers the HPGe survey appropriate for screening potential surface contamination of soil and asphalt.

The Division disagrees with the deposition of our comments to the draft and final Workplans regarding Section 5.1.2 5. As stated in CDH November 1992 comments to that section, when ground water contamination has been confirmed at an IHSS, plume delineation will be necessary. One downgradient well is not necessarily sufficient. The text must specifically acknowledge that complete plume delineation will occur. Plume delineation should be added to the stage 3 objectives for ground water in Table 5.2.

Colorado Department of Health

Hazardous Materials and Waste Management Division

Comments to: Final Phase I RFI/RI Work Plan for OU-13

April 1993

SPECIFIC COMMENTS:

- In Sec 5.1.1.2 for the North Chemical Site (IHSS 117.1) on page 5-4 the text states, "The data shows no radionuclide contamination.", which appears to be inconsistent with revised Section 6.3.1.1 where data from borehole P214689 at IHSS 117.1 is reported to contain above background concentrations of several radionuclides. Please clarify/correct this apparent discrepancy between these sections of the Workplan.
- 2) Modification to the Workplan in response to CDH Comment #8(b) does not appear to be consistent regarding magnesium and beryllium at IHSSs 134(s) and 148. Soil at IHSS 134(s) will be analyzed for magnesium as indicated in Table 6-4, however this modification has not occurred in the text (page 6-44). IHSS 148 must be analyzed for beryllium, this is not indicated in Table 6-4 but has been addressed in modification to the text on page 6-51. Please correct these discrepancy between Table 6-4 and the text of the Workplan so that it is clear the Division's comment has been adequately addressed and that magnesium will be analyzed at IHSS 134(s) and beryllium will be analyzed at IHSS 148.
- In section 6.2.2 (page 6-26). if, because of laboratory turn around time, complete analytical results for stage 1 sampling are not provided in Technical Memorandum 1, a target date for submittal of the remainder of the stage 1 data needs to be specified. The Division agrees that Technical Memorandum 1 should not be delayed waiting for complete stage 1 analytical results. However, it is not appropriate to defer reporting complete stage 1 results until the stage 2 Technical Memorandum is submitted. The Division recommends that complete stage 1 results be reported in the Division within a reasonable time (i.e. 30 days) after EG&G/DOE receipt of complete stage 1 analytical results.

ATTACHMENT I - TEXT TO REPLACE SECTION 5.1.2.5.3

5 1 2 5 3 Surficial Soil Sampling - As part of the Stage 1 sampling program, surficial soil samples will be taken at specific IHSS areas. The objectives of the initial soil sampling plan is to identify include identifying elevated concentrations of possible contaminants and to augment augmenting the findings of the HPGe survey within each specific IHSS area in OU These samples will be analyzed for TAL metals and a full suite of radionuclides plutonium 239 and 240, americium 241, uranium 238, uranium 233/234, tritium, strontium 89/90, strontium 90, cesium 137, radium 226, radium 228, gross alpha and gross beta. In some cases, specific metals - lithium, beryllium and magnesium will be targeted for analysis at specific IHSSs One sample per group will be analyzed for gamma-emitting radionuclides with on site laboratory HPGe instruments. At specific IHSSs where radioactivity has been detected, asphalt samples will also be collected and analyzed for radioactivity with a laboratory HPGe Laboratory analytical methods will confirm to those referenced in GRRASP, these methods meet the criteria for analytical Levels IV and V Field data collection will be in accordance with Environmental Management Division Manual 5-2000, Volume III, Geotechnical (RFP-EMD, 1992a) (An SOP for the laboratory HPGe is currently under development and will be completed and submitted for regulatory agency approval prior to use) Sample collection will proceed according to SOP GT 08 Any specific revisions to the procedures will be approved by the regulatory agencies prior to use

The surficial soils sampling problem is defined as detecting whether contamination is presented at each specific IHSS area. One surficial soils sampling objective is to determine the presence or absence of contamination at each specific IHSS area. The maximum concentration for each constituent will be used to determine if elevated concentrations exist. If elevated concentrations are identified, then more in-depth borehole and surficial soil sampling will be conducted in Stage 2 to characterize the nature and extent of

ATTACHMENT I - TEXT TO REPLACE SECTION 5.1.2.5.3

contamination and collect additional data to support a human health risk assessment

The following is the statistical approach used— [Delete to End of Section 5.1.2.5.3]

The number of samples required to meet stage 1 surficial sampling activity objectives for each IHSS in OU-13 were estimated using a statistical approach based on classical variability analysis (EPA 1989, 1990). The variability analysis resulted in a preliminary estimate that 25 or more systematically located surficial soil samples are needed to begin characterization of each IHSS. This estimate is independent of IHSS size and assumes a coefficient of variation of 0.59. It should therefore only be considered a rough estimate of the number of samples needed. The full number of 25 samples is only proposed at larger sites. In anticipation that later geostatistical analysis will indicate a need for fewer samples at smaller IHSSs less samples are initially proposed. For smaller IHSSs the actual number of initial soil samples is based on a triangular grid spacing of approximately 50 feet. This grid size was determined using professional judgement and is consistent with the approved RFI/RI Work Plans of other industrial area OUs with IHSSs of similar size. Table 5.5 shows the number of samples proposed at each IHSS. It is understood that based on site inspection, the actual location of surficial soil samples may be adjusted. In paved areas where soil sampling locations will be adjusted, where practical, to overlay soil gas sampling locations, thereby minimizing the number of holes cut through pavement.

The adequacy of the number of samples will be evaluated in Technical Memorandum 1. Should the computed power fail to meet the requirements for risk assessment, additional samples will be collected and analyzed during stage 2. The number of samples to be collected will be determined using variability analysis and the stage 1 coefficient of variation. The stage 2 samples will be located using geostatistical techniques and locations proposed

ATTACHMENT I - TEXT TO REPLACE SECTION 5.1.2.5.3

Table 5.5 SURFICIAL SOIL SAMPLING BY IHSS

IHSS	Total Number of Surficial Soil Samples	Number of Unique Samples ⁽¹⁾	Sample Analysis ⁽³⁾
117.1	25	25	Metals, RAD
197	12	10	Metals, RAD
186	19	13	RAD
117.2	25	25	Metals, RAD
158	17	14	Metals, RAD
117.3	25	25	Metals, RAD
152	0	0	
134(S) ⁽²⁾	18	18	Metals, RAD
128/ 134(N)	8	8	Metals, RAD
171	14	11	Metals, RAD
148	22	22	Metals, RAD
157.1	17	17	Metals, RAD
TOTAL	202	188	

Notes

⁽¹⁾ Unique samples only counts samples located in multiple IHSSs once. At smaller IHSS, were less than 25 initial samples are proposed, the number of samples proposed is based on professional judgement and corresponds to an approximately 50 foot triangular grid.

⁽²⁾ IHSS 134(s) includes samples to north of 134(s) up to but not including IHSS 171.

⁽³⁾ Metals = TAL Metals ; RAD = Radionuclide.

, k

Colorado Department of Health
Hazardous Materials and Waste Management Division

Review and Comments: Final Phase I RFI/RI Work Plan for OU-13

April 1993

ATTACHMENT I - TEXT TO REPLACE SECTION 5.1.2.5.3

in Technical Memorandum 1. If surficial soil sample results are not available for Technical

Memorandum'1 they will be reported to the Division within 30 days of receipt of complete

stage I surficial soil analytical results.

Note The following discussion is taken from the approved Final OU-10 Phase I RFI/RI Work Plan, page 4-13

The calculation of data needs for assessing variability were performed as follows

The prescribed margin of error and the acceptable error of estimation of the mean were

identified The number of polygons to be sampled to estimate the population mean is a

function of (1) the absolute margin of error that can be tolerated and (2) the acceptable

confidence limits

The basic equation for estimating the number of samples according to Gilbert (1987) is the

following

$$n = (t_{1-\alpha/2, n-1}\sigma/d)^2$$

where

n = number of samples required

n-1 = degrees of freedom

 $\sigma = s = sample standard deviation of the mean estimate$

d = margin of error

 $\alpha/2$ = one-sided confidence limit

 $t_{1-\alpha/2} = (1-\alpha/2)$ quantile of the t distribution with n-1 degrees of freedom

ATTACHMENT I - TEXT TO REPLACE SECTION 5.1.2.5.3

Although a reliable value of σ is not available for determining n, an estimate of the relative standard deviation $\eta = \sigma/\mu$ (the coefficient of variation), may be roughly estimated Because this quantity is usually less variable from one study to another than the mean (μ) , the approach suggested by Gilbert is to specify the relative error (dr) as $d_r = |x - \mu|/\mu$ such that

$$Prob[|x-\mu|>d_r\mu]=\alpha$$

Therefore, the equation becomes

$$n = (t_{1-\alpha/2, n-1} \eta/d_r)^2$$

where η must be pre-specified

For risk assessment, a reasonable bound on the error of estimation is 0.2 of the mean, i.e., the 95 percent confidence interval around the mean is the mean plus or minus 20 percent of the mean. This level of uncertainty is small relative to the uncertainty associated with toxicological parameters used to estimate risk.

The η is first assumed as 0.59, which is common or relatively conservative in most soil sample data analysis. Since $t_{1-\alpha/2}$ depends on n, an iterative procedure should be used. Using this approach, a sample size of 25 polygons is estimated as follows.

ATTACHMENT I - TEXT TO REPLACE SECTION 5.1.2.5.3

$$n = (1708 (0.59/02))^2$$
$$n = 25$$

where the Student T variant is 1 708, the confidence limit is 0 05 for one-sided, and for 24 degrees of freedom

Since the number of samples is fully dependent on the estimated value of the coefficient of variation, the sample number 25 can be expected to result in a mean calculation within the 95 percentage confidence limit only for a coefficient of variation less than 0.59. If the actual coefficient of variation is higher than 0.59, the number of samples would have to be increased. The preliminary estimate of 25 samples is also a prudent choice based on the Central Limit Theorem. Many statisticians recommend that this theorem can be safely applied if n is at least 25 or 30. The mean values calculated from subsets of populations of this approximate size tend to be normally distributed, even if the sample populations are non-normal.

Two performance measures that are commonly used to evaluate statistical sampling designs, such as the one presented here, are confidence level (α) and power (β) which are related to sample variability. The confidence level can be used to determine the probability of a false positive or Type I error. The power can be used to determine the probability of a false negative or Type II error. For risk assessment purposes, EPA recommends a minimum confidence of 80 percent (Type I error = 20 percent) and a minimum power of 90 percent (Type II error = 10 percent) (EPA 1990). The confidence level used for this statistical analysis was 95 percent and the power is not considered. However, a 95 percent confidence level provides a reasonable compromise between the probability of Type I and Type II errors.

ATTACHMENT I - TEXT TO REPLACE SECTION 5 1.2 5.3

Once the number of samples is determined, the site is divided into 25 segments of equal size, and one sample is taken within each block. This systematic sampling plan provides more uniform coverage of a site than simple random sampling does.

Sampling variability affects the degree of confidence the risk assessor can expect. Large variation of a contaminant in a medium indicates that the number of samples should be increased or that the samples of that medium should be stratified to reduce variability. An estimate of the sampling variability that is a function of a spatial variation on the concentration of chemicals of potential concern is obtained by calculating the coefficient of variation, h, for each chemical (EPA, 1990). The coefficient of variation for stage 2 sampling will be estimated from sampling and analysis during stage 1.

ATTACHMENT II - TABLES and FIGURES

This Attachment contains modifications to the following Table and Figures of the Final RFI/RI Work Plan for OU 13 - The 100 Area as discussed in Issue 1 - Surficial Soil Sampling Plan

• TABLE 62	OU 13 IAG Requirements / FSP Comparison (10 Sheets)
• FIGURE 6-3	IHSS Sampling Locations IHSS 117 1 & 197
• FIGURE 6-4	IHSS Sampling Locations IHSS 158 & 1172
• FIGURE 6-5	IHSS Sampling Locations IHSS 1173 & 152
• FIGURE 6-6	IHSS Sampling Locations IHSS 128 & 134(n) & 171
• FIGURE 6-7	IHSS Sampling Locations IHSS 134(s)
• FIGURE 6-8	IHSS Sampling Locations IHSS 148
• FIGURE 6-9	IHSS Sampling Locations IHSS 191 & 157 1
• FIGURE 6-10	IHSS Sampling Locations IHSS 186

	A Raijonale	In Agreement - Information Provided in Section 20	Identify visible contamination	Investigate soil contamination indicated by Well P214689 - 100%	coverage	Improved Coverage additional analytes added based on available data	Investigate soil	_	Hand radionuclides confirm	Aid interpretation of IIPGe survey	Provide cost effective	information regulation	groundwater conditions	In Agreement	In Agreement)		In Agreement	Increased Coverage
	No. of Samples/Borings	٧٧	٧٧	20 grid spacing		20 grid spacing	75 +	-(11-within HISS group	which includes 11188-1939 and radionuclides [11]	TBD	,	ı		CIBIT	TBD			CIRT	TBD
FSP	Activity	Provide documentation of materials/chemicals stored*	Visual Inspection	IIPGe Radiological Survey		Soil Gas Survey	Surficial Soil Sampling			Verlical Soil Profiles	()	Sample 1 visiting	Wells/I'lezometers	Borcholes in Soil Cas and	Boreholes (confirmation of	soil gas and radiation	surveys)	Monitoring Wells	Nested Tensionicters
*	No. of Samples/Borings	< z				100 grid spacing								TIBID	מונ			CRIT	
IAG	Activity	Provide documentation of materials/chemicals stored				Soil Gas Survey								Borcholes in Soil Gas Plumes		Borenoies (confirmatoin of	0	Monitoring Wells	
	IIISS Number	1171	1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4																

* Per modifications outlined in letter from G W Baughman, CDH, to F Lockhardt, DOE, dated February 10, 1992 NA = Not applicable TBD = To be determined .. This activity was performed during the preparation of this Work Plan

Revised Final

	*DVI	*	I SP		
IIISS Number	Activity	No of Samples/Borings	Activity	No. of Samples/Borings	Ralfonale
117.3	Provide documentation of materials/chemicals stored	VN	Provide documentation of	NA	In Agreement Information Provided in Section 2.0
					0.7 11011200 111 2221401.1
			Visual Inspection	VN	Identify visible
			Micro Rediological Survey	20 grid spacing	Investigate soil
					contamination indicated by
					Well P211689 100%
-		!	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4		coverage
	Soul Gas Survey	100 grid spacing	Soil Gir Survey	20 gird spacing	Improved Coverage
					additional analytes added
					based on available data
			Surficial Soil Sampling	‡	Investigate soil
				74	contamination with metals
				n S	and radionuclides - confirm
					HPGc survey
			Vertical Soil Profiles	TBD	Aid interpretation of IIPGe
					survey
			Sample I visting	2	Provide cost effective
			Wells/Piczometers		information regarding
	THE PARTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF TH				groundwater conditions
 -	Boreholes in Soil Gas Plumes	CIRIT	Borcholes in Soil Gas and	TBD	In Agreement
			Radiation Anomalies		
	Boreholes (confirmation of	THD	Borcholes (confirmation of	TBD	In Agreement
	soil gas)		soil gas and radiation		
			surveys)		
	Monitoring Wells	TBD	Monitoring Wells	TBD	In Agreement
			Nested Tensionieters	TBD	Increased Coverage

.. This activity was performed during the preparation of this Work Plan * Per modifications outlined in letter from G W Baughman, CDH, to F Lockhardt, DOE, dated February 10, 1992 TBD = 10 be determined NA = Not applicable

Revised Linal

1

Phase I RIT/RI Work Plan

C + D

TABLE 62 (Sheet 3 of 10) OU 13 IAG REQUIREMENTS*/FSP COMPARISON

10/1	1AG* No of	LSP	No. of	, T
firm	Samples/Borings	Visual Inspection	Samples/Borings NA	Identify visible
				contamination
		IIPGe Radiological Survey	20 grid spacing	Investigate possible
				1115S history
Soil Gas Survey	100 grid spacing	Soil Gas Survey	20 grid spacing	Improved Coverage
				additional analytes added
	-			מפאבת מון שאשוושמוב אווש
		Surficial Soil Sampling	35 	Investigate soil
			-(11 mithin HISS group-	confimination with metals
			which inleades 11155 1587 and radionuclides	and radionuclides confirm
				IIPGe survey
		Vertical Soil Profiles	CRIT	Aid interpretation of IIPGe
				survey
		Asphalt Sampling	\$	Investigate contamination of
				aspirait
		Sampling Existing	2	Provide cost effective
		Wells/Piezometers		information regarding
				groundwater conditions
Boreholes in Soil Gas Plumes	CIELL	Boreholes in Soil Gas and	TBD	In Agreement
		Radiation Anaonialies		
Boreholes (confirmation of	CIBIT	Borcholes (confirmatoin of	CBT	In Agreement
		soil gas and radiation		
		surveys)		
		Nested Tensiometers	CIELT	Increased Coverage
Monitoring Wells	TBI	Monitoring Wells	TBD	In Agreement

* Per modifications outlined in letter from G W Baughman, CDH, to F I ockhardt, DOE, dated February 10, 1992 NA = Not applicable TBD = To be determined .. This activity was performed during the preparation of this Work Plan NA = Not applicable

,

Place J RIJ/RI Work Plan

Revised Final

NA = Not applicable

OU 13 IAG REQUIREMENTS*/FSP COMPARISON 1ABLE 62 (Sheet 4 of 10)

rsp		location* NA In Agreement Information	n NA Identify visible	al Survey 20 grid spacing Improved Technology	20'grid spacing Improved Coverage	ver additional analytes	14 4 - 171	up 128,	134N -171- and radionuclides confirm	19 - 154(s) & 171 IIPGe survey	TBD	Southern 4 Investigate contamination of asphalt	3 IIISS 128 and IIISS 171 Provide cost effective	1 HISS 134	groundwater conditions	And TBD	10.8	TBD Increased Coverage	
FSP	1	Reevaluate IIISS location* NA							134N 121	FI 79(s)+61 - 81		Asphalt Sampling (Southern portion of IIISS 134)				bus	nomilies		
*0	No. of Samples/Borings	NA Recvalunte 1	Visual Inspection	10 grid spacing HPGe Radio	25 grid spacing Soil Gas Survey		Surficial Soil Sampling				Vertical Soil Profiles	Asphalt Sampling (S. portion of 1115S 134)	Sample Fristing	Wells/Piezometers	-	TBD Borcholes in	Radiation Anomalics	Monitoring Wells	
*DVI	Activity	Recvaluate IIISS location		I IDI I R GM Radiological Survey	Soil Gir Survey											Borcholes in Soil Gas Plumes			
	IIISS Number	128, 134, 171																	

.. This activity was performed during the preparation of this Work Plan * Per modifications outlined in letter from G W Baughman, CDII, to F Lockhardt, DOE, dated February 10, 1992 IBD = To be determined

TABLE 62 (Sheet 5 of 10) OU 13 IAG REQUIREMENTS*/FSP COMPARISON

										shorte soil	Monineters with	yllism and	udionuclites.				•				
	Rationale	In Agreement - Information	provided in Section 20	Identify visible	contamination	Improved Technology	Investigate VOC	contaniination of	groundwater in area	Confirm IIPGe results - Invostigate Soft	Aid interpretation of III'Ge Co.	survey	Investigate contamination of Indianuclites.	Provide cost effective	information regarding	groundwater conditions	In Agreement			Increased Covernge	Increased Coverage
	> No. of Samples/Borings	NA		۷۷		20 grid spacing	20' grid spacing			‡ ~	CIBT	à.	4	5	··-		TBD - 1 near OPWI	during stage 1		CIBL	TRD
FSP	Actlylty	Submit documentation of	radiometric survey(s)*	Visual Inspection		IIPGe Radiological Survey	Soil Gas Survey			Surficial Soil Sampling	Vertical Soil Profiles		Asphalt Sampling	Sample Existing	Wells/Piezometers		Boreholes in Soil Gas and	Radiation Anomalics and	near OPWS	Nested Tensiometers	Monitring Wells
*.	No. of Samples/Borings	NA				10 grid spacing											TND				
*DVI	Activity	Submit documentation of	radiometric survey(s)			FIDI FR GM Radiological Survey											Soil Borings				
	IIISS Kumber	148																			

* Per modifications outlined in letter from G W Baughman, CDH, to F Lockhardt, DOE, dated February 10, 1992 NA = Not applicable TBD = To be determined · · This activity was performed during the preparation of this Work Plan

Revised Final

Phase I RITAI Work Plan

Per modifications outlined in letter from G W Baughman, CDII, to F Lockhardt, DOE, dated February 10, 1992
 NA = Not applicable TBD = To be determined .. This activity was performed during the preparation of this Work Plan

TABLE 62 (Sheet 6 of 10)
OU 13 IAG REQUIREMENTS*/FSP COMPARISON

		· · · · · ·	17				,		1
	a e Rationale	Identify visible	Grid spacing sufficient to find large spills documented at	the IIISS	Provide cost effective	information regarding groundwater conditorns		Increased Coverage	Increased Coverage
	No. of Samples/Borings	٧٧	40 grid spacing		2		TBD minimum of 3	TBD	TBD
FSP	Activity	Visual Inspection	Soil Gas Survey		Sample Laisting	Wells/Piczometers	Soil Borings	Nested Tensiometers	Monitoring Wells
1AG*	No. af Samples/Borings		20 grid spacing				CHT		
IA:	IIISK 'Number ' Activity		Soil Gas Survey		والمراجع والم والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراج		Soil Cores/Borings		
	IIISS Number	152							

TABLE 62 (Sheet 7 of 10) OU 13 IAG REQUIREMENTS*/FSP COMPARISON

	*: 71	*	LSP		
IISS Number	Activity	No. of	Activity	No. of Samples/Borings	Rationale
		od in the second in the		* 2	In Agreement . Information
157 1	Submit documentation of	<z< td=""><td>Submit documentation of</td><td>V)</td><td>The second secon</td></z<>	Submit documentation of	V)	The second secon
	radiometric survey(s)		ridiometric survey(s)+		provided in Section 2 0
1			Visual Inspection	٧ <u>٧</u>	dentify visible
					contamination
	The CM Ballabarat	25 orid spacing	11PGe Radiological Survey	20 grid spacing	Improved Icchnology
	THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF		:		100% coverige
	Survey		Soil Gis Survey	20 grid spacing	Investigate VOC
					continuination of
					groundwater in arti
	Suctional Soil Samulano	TRD	Surficial Soil Sampling	+ +	In Agricment
	Spillerat Miles		Vertical Soil Proviles	TBD	And interpretation of HPGe
					survey
			Sample I xisting	3	Provide cost effective
			Wells/Piczometers		information regarding
					groundwater conditions
	Cail Borne	TBD	Soil Borings	TND	In Agreement
	Som points		Nested Tensionieters	TIID	Increased Coverage
			Monitoring Wells	CHT	Increased Coverage

ned during the preparation of this Work Plan * Per modifications outlined in letter from G W Baughman, CDH, to F Lockhardt, DOE, dated February 10, 1992 NA = Not vicable TBD = To be determined .. This activity was pr ned during the preparation of this Wo

Revised Lins

Chase I RIJAI W. O. Pressible Unit on the

OU 13 IAG REQUIREMENTS*/FSP COMPARISON TABLE 62 (Sheet 8 of 10)

	DVI	,	FSP		
IIISS Number	Activity	No. of Samples/Borings	Activity	No. of 's, . Samples/Borings	Rationale
158			Visual Inspection	٧٧	Identify visible
					contamination
	I IDI ER GM Radiological	25 grid spacing	IIPCie Radiological Survey	20 grid spacing	Improved Technology
	Survey				100% coverage
	Soil Gas Survey	25 grid spacing	Soil Gas Survey	20 grid spacing	Increased Coverage
	Surficial Soil Sampling	TIND	Surficial Soil Sampling	中中	In Agreement
			Vertical Soil Profiles	THD	And interpretation of IIPCse
					survey
			Sample Existing	Ф	Provide cost effective
			Wells/Piczometers		information regarding
;					groundwater conditions
	Boreholes in Soil Gas Plumes	TBD	Boreholes in Soil Gas and	TBD	In Agreement
			Radiation Anomalies		
			Nested Tensiometers	TRD	Increased Coverage
			Monitoring Wells	TBD	Increased Coverage
169	I ocate waste drum	VZ.	Document drum incident.	٧٧	Details of incident
					documented in Section 20

* Per modifications outlined in letter from G W Baughman, CDII, to F Lockhardt, DOE, dated February 10, 1992 NA = Not ricable TBD = To be determined •• This activity was pr rmed during the preparation of this Work Plan

6-1B

Revised Finst Merch 10, 1993

Phine I RIT/RI Work Plin Operible Unit No 13

TABLE 62 (Sheet 9 of 10) OU 13 IAG REQUIREMEN IS*/FSP COMPARISON

	*DVI	*	LSP		
IIISS Number	Activity	No. of Samples/Borings	Åctivity	'No. of; '', ' Samples/Borings	· Rationale
186	Submit documentation of	٧٧	Submit documentation of	NA	In Agreement informatoin
	cleanup operations		cleanup operations.		provided in Section 20
			Visual Inspection	NA	Identify visible
					contamination
			IIPGe Radiological Survey	20 grid spacing	Increased Coverage to 100%
			Soil Gas Survey	20' grid spacing	Investigate VOC
					confamination of soils in area
			Surficial Soil Sampling	+ 6	Confirm IIPGe results
			Vertical Soil Profiles	TBD	Aid interpretation of HPGe
					survey
			Sample Existing	2	Provide cost effective
			Wells/Piezometers		information regarding
					groundwater conditions
	Soil Borings	TBD	Boreholes in Soil Gas and	TBD 4 boreholes along	In Agreement
			Radistion Anomalies -	PWL	
			Borcholes along PWL		
			Nested Tensiometers	TBD	Increased Coverage
andriggen of the field which the state of th			Monitoring Wells	TBD	Incrused Coverage
190	Submit documentation	CISI	Submit documentation	< Z	In Agreement
,	regarding nature of leaks		regarding nature of leaks.		
191	Submit documentation	18D	Submit documentation	Š.	In Agreement
	regarding nature of spill		regarding nature of spill.		

* Per modifications outlined in letter from G W Baughman, CDII, to F Lockhardt, DOE, dated February 10, 1992 NA = Not applicable 1BD = To be determined · This activity was performed during the preparation of this Work Plan

TABLE 62 (Sheet 10 of 10) OU 13 IAG REQUIREMENTS*/FSP COMPARISON

		1									 	 										-					
	Rationale	Response to I PA and CDII	requestor	•				Identify visible	containination	same as		Investigate soil	contamination with nietals	and radionuclides confirm	JIPGe survey	Aid interpretation of IIPGe	survey	Provide cost effective	information regarding	groundwater condit ons	In Agreement		In Agreement			In Agreement	Increased Coverage
	No. of Samples/Borings	Y X						NA		20 grid spacing	20' grid spacing	†	<u>ત્</u>	,		TBD		2			180		TBD			TBD	TBD
FSP	Áctlylty	Included with the	investigation of IIISS 117 1	at the request of Colorado	Department of Health and	the Invironmental	Protection Agency	Visual Inspection		IIPGe Radiological Survey	Soil Gas Survey	Surficial Soil Sampling				Vertical Soil Profiles		Sample Fxisting	Wells/Piczometers		Boreholes in Soil Gas and	Radiation Anomalics	Borcholes (confirmation of	soil gas and radiation	surveys)	Monitoring Wells	Nested Tensiometers
IVG*	No. of Samples/Borings																										
VI	Activity	Originally in OU 16														•											
	IIISS Number	197																									

Baughman, CDII, to F Lockhardt, DOE, dated February 10, 1992

11 Inis activity was performed during the preparation of this Work Plan * Per modifications outlined in letter from G W NA = Not applicable TBD = To be determined NA = Not applicable

1 " 1 P a

Place I RITAL WAL PLA















